

The quarter-end drama: crossing the river by feeling the stone

Friday, April 01, 2016

Highlights:

- Offshore overnight CNH money market rate fell to negative territory for the first time, while onshore CNY money market rate spiked due to tight liquidity. But, sorry, there is no new juicy story for China bear.
- The divergent liquidity trend in two RMB market was mainly driven by separate regulatory changes.
- In the onshore market, banks are trying to be adaptable to the newly launched macro prudential assessment framework, which resulted in reluctance to lend to non-bank financial institutions.
- In the offshore market, banks are still exploring the implications of RRR. But banks have the incentive to lend out to reduce their deposit balance at quarter-end, which will be used to calculate amount of reserve needed to be locked up for 3 months. Between negative interest rate for one day and freeze of liquidity for three months, market has done their calculation.
- Those are temporary factors. Business is expected to be as usual when new quarter starts today.

Corporate FX & Structured
Products
Tel: 6349-1888 / 1881

Fixed Income & Structured
Products
Tel: 6349-1810

Investments & Structured
Product
Tel: 6349-1886

Interest Rate Derivatives
Tel: 6349-1899

Treasury Research &
Strategy
Tel: 6530-4887

Tommy Xie Dongming

+(65) 6530 7256

xied@ocbc.com

The passing quarter-end is remarkable for Chinese investors after watching the divergent RMB liquidity trend in onshore and offshore markets. In the offshore market, CNH overnight interbank rate fell into negative territory with the negative interest rate implied by swap travelled to as low as double digit after overnight CNH HIBOR being fixed at minus 3.725% as a result of super flush liquidity. However, in the onshore market, liquidity remained tight with 1-day repo in the Shanghai Exchange hitting a high of 12.6% intraday.

So, what's the story behind this divergent RMB liquidity trend? Unfortunately, there is no juicy story for China bear here. There is no structural change in the market or rise of negative sentiment due to particular event. The divergent liquidity trend in both markets was mainly distorted by separate regulatory changes including the quarter-end macro prudential assessment in the onshore market and quarter-end reserve requirement ratio calculation in the offshore market.

The impact of MPA on onshore liquidity

Since the beginning of 2016, PBoC has introduced a new macro prudential assessment (MPA) system to contain risks in the financial system. The new MPA system covers seven areas including banks' capital adequacy, leverage ratio, assets and liabilities, pricing, asset quality, foreign debt risk and implementation of credit policy. Instead of previous simple loan management, the credit assessment will be expanded to a broader range of credit products including loan, bond investment, equity investment, alternative investment, buying back the sale of financial assets and deposits in non-bank financial institutions.

Given this is the first quarter for the MPA assessment, extra caution has been exercised by banks. As such, banks were reluctant to lend to non-bank financial institutions as this is the easiest way to control the credit expansion to meet regulatory requirement. The tight liquidity for non-bank financial institutions led to the spike of 1-day repo rate in the Shanghai Exchange.

The impact of RRR on offshore liquidity

In contrast to the tight RMB liquidity in the onshore market, which is not uncommon at quarter-end, the extreme flush RMB liquidity in the offshore market is more dramatic. Despite concerns about the negative impact of breach of Keepwell deed by Guosen Securities on offshore RMB demand, we think the negative interest rate was mainly the result of newly imposed reserve requirement ratio for offshore RMB deposits.

The reserve requirement, which depends on the balance of quarter-end, will be locked up for three months. As such, there is incentive for banks to lend out to reduce their quarter-end balance. Between interest rate paid to park the money outside for one day and 3-month lockup of funds with low interest rate, market has done their calculation.

To conclude, we think the drivers behind the dramatic divergent liquidity trend on 31 March was nothing fancy but mainly the result of regulatory changes. In the onshore market, banks are trying to be adaptable to the new MPA system while in the offshore market, banks are still exploring the impact of RRR on the offshore RMB deposits. The distortion on both money market rate is likely to be temporary and business is likely to go back to usual when the new quarter starts.

This publication is solely for information purposes only and may not be published, circulated, reproduced or distributed in whole or in part to any other person without our prior written consent. This publication should not be construed as an offer or solicitation for the subscription, purchase or sale of the securities/instruments mentioned herein. Any forecast on the economy, stock market, bond market and economic trends of the markets provided is not necessarily indicative of the future or likely performance of the securities/instruments. Whilst the information contained herein has been compiled from sources believed to be reliable and we have taken all reasonable care to ensure that the information contained in this publication is not untrue or misleading at the time of publication, we cannot guarantee and we make no representation as to its accuracy or completeness, and you should not act on it without first independently verifying its contents. The securities/instruments mentioned in this publication may not be suitable for investment by all investors. Any opinion or estimate contained in this report is subject to change without notice. We have not given any consideration to and we have not made any investigation of the investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs of the recipient or any class of persons, and accordingly, no warranty whatsoever is given and no liability whatsoever is accepted for any loss arising whether directly or indirectly as a result of the recipient or any class of persons acting on such information or opinion or estimate. This publication may cover a wide range of topics and is not intended to be a comprehensive study or to provide any recommendation or advice on personal investing or financial planning. Accordingly, they should not be relied on or treated as a substitute for specific advice concerning individual situations. Please seek advice from a financial adviser regarding the suitability of any investment product taking into account your specific investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs before you make a commitment to purchase the investment product. OCBC and/or its related and affiliated corporations may at any time make markets in the securities/instruments mentioned in this publication and together with their respective directors and officers, may have or take positions in the securities/instruments mentioned in this publication and may be engaged in purchasing or selling the same for themselves or their clients, and may also perform or seek to perform broking and other investment or securities-related services for the corporations whose securities are mentioned in this publication as well as other parties generally.
